

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENNIS G. HOPKINS,

No. C 08-807 MHP (pr)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

v.

CDCR Director TILLMAN,

Defendant.

On February 5, 2008, mail was sent from the court to plaintiff at the address he provided to the court and was returned undelivered on March 3, 2008, marked "Returned to Sender" and "parole." Plaintiff has not provided any address other than the one to which the undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding pro se must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a pro se party is returned as not deliverable and the pro se party fails to send a current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail

For the foregoing reasons, this action is dismissed without prejudice because plaintiff failed to keep the court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The in forma pauperis application is DENIED. (Docket # 2.) The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2008


Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge